

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/099,931	03/14/2002	Oliver Bremer	944-004.002/NC 16334 US	2705	
4955	7590 12/06/2005 EXAMINER				
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			HENNING, M	HENNING, MATTHEW T	
BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			2131		
MONROE, CT 06468			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/099,931	BREMER, OLIVER			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew T. Henning	2131			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP! CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 i	March 2002.				
2a)□						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows		osecution as to the merits is			
/_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[\times	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er				
′—	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2002</u> is/are:		by the Examiner			
. 4/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	· · ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	•	n priority under 35 H S C & 119/a	\-(d) or (f)			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
u) <sub>l</sub>	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ats have been received				
	Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price.	• •	<del></del>			
	application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	od III tilis (Vational Gtage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 因 Infori	3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/18/2002</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2131

1	This action is in response to the communication filed on 3/14/2002.
2	DETAILED ACTION
3	Claims 1-27 have been examined.
4	Title
5	The title of the invention is acceptable.
6	Priority
7	This application has no priority claimed.
8	Therefore, the effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in
9	this application is 3/14/2005.
10	Information Disclosure Statement
11	The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 6/18/2002 are in compliance
12	with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information
13	disclosure statements.
14	Drawings
15	The drawings filed on 6/17/2002 are acceptable for examination proceedings.
16	Specification
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.  The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2131

2	The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:
3	Line 1 recites "are provided" which can be implied and therefore must be removed.
4	Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
5	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112
6	The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
7 8 9	The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
10	Claims 12, 16, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
11	indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
12	applicant regards as the invention.
13	Claim 12 depends from itself. Based on the claim terminology, for the purposes of
14	searching prior art, the examiner will assume that claim 12 was meant to depend from claim 8.
15	Claim 16 recites the limitation "the peer-to-peer forwarding/reception of DRM protected
16	content module" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the
17	claim. For the purposes of searching prior art, based on the claim terminology, the examiner will
18	assume that claim 16 was meant to depend from claim 15 and not from claim 1.
19	Claim 26 recites the limitation "the wireless recipient" in line 3. There is insufficient
20	antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For purposes of searching prior art, the
21	examiner will assume that this limitation was referring to the "second terminal".
22	Claim 27 recites the limitation "the initial message". There is insufficient antecedent
23	basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2131

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-17, 19-21, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Safadi et al. (US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0147686) hereinafter referred to as Safadi.

Regarding claim 1, Safadi disclosed a method for forwarding peer-to-peer content in a wireless network having a network infrastructure, characterized in that a wireless sender encrypts protected content or content encryption key and a wireless recipient consumes the protected content without requiring content personalization assistance from the network infrastructure (See Safadi Paragraphs 0032, 0036-0037, and 0044).

Regarding claim 8, Safadi disclosed a wireless network having wireless terminals and a network infrastructure for forwarding peer-to-peer content from one wireless terminal to another wireless terminal, characterized in that at least two wireless terminals comprise a peer-to-peer forwarding/reception of DRM protected content module for either encrypting or consuming protected content without content personalization assistance from the network infrastructure (See Safadi Paragraphs 0032, 0036-0037, and 0044).

Application/Control Number: 10/099,931

Art Unit: 2131

Regarding claim 15, Safadi disclosed a wireless terminal for operating in a wireless network having another wireless terminal and a network infrastructure for forwarding peer-to-peer content from the wireless terminal to the other wireless terminal, characterized in that each wireless terminal comprises a peer-to-peer forwarding/reception of DRM protected content module for either encrypting, consuming, or a combination thereof, protected content without content personalization assistance from the network infrastructure (See Safadi Paragraphs 0032, 0036-0037, and 0044).

Page 5

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 16, Safadi disclosed that the wireless sender sends an initial message having an international mobile equipment identity, a sender name or mobile station international integrated subscriber digital network number to the wireless recipient (See Safadi Paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim 3, Safadi disclosed that the wireless recipient sends a device certificate having a public key to the wireless sender (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036 and 0041).

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 17, Safadi disclosed that that the wireless sender personalizes the protected content or content encryption key for the wireless recipient (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036-0037 and 0044).

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 20, Safadi disclosed that the wireless recipient verifies forwarded protected content received from the wireless sender by: verifying the device certificate of the wireless sender (See Safadi Paragraph 0043); and applying a private key of the wireless recipient in order for the recipient to consume the protected content (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036-0037 and 0044).

Art Unit: 2131

1 Regarding claims 7, 14, and 21, Safadi disclosed that the protected content is digital 2 rights management protected content (See Safadi Paragraph 0034). 3 Regarding claims 10, and 19, Safadi disclosed that the peer-to-peer forwarding/reception 4 of DRM protected content module of a wireless sender sends a device certificate having a public 5 key to the wireless sender (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036-0037 and 0042). 6 Regarding claim 27, Safadi disclosed that the initial message includes a device certificate 7 to the wireless recipient (See Safadi Paragraph 0042). 8 9 10 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 11 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 12 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 13 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter 14 15 sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have 16 been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to 17 which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which 18 the invention was made. 19 20 Claims 5, 12, 18, and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 21 over Safadi as applied to claims 4, 8, and 17 respectively above, and further in view of Mott et 22 al. (US Patent Number 6,170,060) hereinafter referred to as Mott. 23 Safadi disclosed that the steps for personalizing include: encrypting the content or content encryption key using a public key of the wireless recipient (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036-0037); 24 25 and sending the protected content or content encryption key and a device certificate of the

Application/Control Number: 10/099,931

Art Unit: 2131

wireless sender to the wireless recipient (See Safadi Paragraphs 0042 and 0044), but failed to

2 disclose signing encrypted content or content encryption key using a private key of the wireless

Page 7

sender, or sending the protected content with a device certificate of the sender.

Mott teaches that a digital signature should be appended to downloaded content in order to be able to verify the data (See Mott Col. 11 Paragraph 2).

It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ the teachings of Mott in the content distribution system of Safadi by including a signature of the content with the content. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to provide a means for the recipient to verify the integrity of the data. Further, it was well known in the art at the time of invention that the certificate of a signor could be included with the signed object and therefore it would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art to have done so.

Regarding claim 22, the combination of Safadi and Mott disclosed a method for forwarding a protected content or content encryption key from a first terminal to a second terminal, comprising the steps of: sending an initial message from a first terminal to a second terminal (See Safadi Paragraph 0042); sending a digital rights management device certificate containing a public digital rights management key from the second terminal to the first terminal (See Safadi Paragraph 0041); verifying the public digital rights management key by the first terminal (See Safadi Paragraph 0041); personalizing digital rights management content or content encryption key by encryption using a public key of the second terminal (See Safadi Paragraphs 0036-0037 and 0044); signing encrypted digital rights management content or content encryption key using a private digital rights management key of the first terminal (See

Art Unit: 2131

22

the rejection of claim 5 above); sending encrypted and signed digital rights management content 1 2 or content encryption key together with a digital rights management device certificate of the first 3 terminal from the first terminal to the second terminal (See the rejection of claim 5 above); 4 verifying the digital rights management device certificate of the first terminal by the second 5 terminal (See Safadi Paragraph 0043); and applying a private digital rights management key of 6 the second terminal, if the private digital rights management key of the first terminal is verified. 7 in order for the second terminal to consume the protected content (See Safadi Paragraph 0044). Regarding claim 23, see the rejection of claim 2 above. 8 9 Regarding claim 26, see Safadi Paragraph 0042). 10 Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the 11 combination of Safadi and Mott as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Gustafsson 12 (US Patent Number 6,424,841). 13 Safadi and Mott disclosed sending encrypted and signed digital rights management 14 content to the first terminal and verifying the same in the first terminal (See the rejection of 15 claim 22 above), but failed to disclose sending confirmation or error messages. However, Safadi 16 and Mott did disclose that the communications were with a cell phone (See Safadi Paragraph 17 0033). 18 Gustafsson teaches that in a mobile phone system, acknowledgment messages should be 19 provided to the sender of a message by the recipient (See Gustafsson Col. 2 Paragraphs 3-4). 20 It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of 21 invention to employ the teachings of Gustafsson in the content distribution system of Safadi and

Mott by having the receiver either acknowledge proper receipt of the content or send an error

Application/Control Number: 10/099,931

Art Unit: 2131

1 message to the sender. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the

2 art would have been motivated to ensure proper receipt of the content.

3 Conclusion

- 4 Claims 1-27 have been rejected.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's
- 6 disclosure.
- 7 a. Futamura et al. (US Application Publication 2002/0026582) disclosed a system
- 8 for distributing personalized content between peers without the use of a third party.
- 9 b. Saito et al. (Patent Number 6,069,952) disclosed a system for distributing
- 10 personalized content.
- 11 c. Hori et al. (US Application Publication 2004/0010467) disclosed a system for
- transferring content from one user to another.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
- examiner should be directed to Matthew T. Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790.
- 15 The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
- supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the
- organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 9

Cll Primary Examiner AUZ 131 12/1/05

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

15 Matthew Henning

16 Assistant Examiner

Mr

17 Art Unit 2131

18 11/28/2005

19